



BUKTI KORESPONDENSI DENGAN HEALTHCARE IN LOW-RESOURCE SETTINGS JOURNAL

Judul: The Effect of Family Resilience Intervention Program for Caregivers of Schizophrenia Patient: A Randomized Controlled Trial

No	Aktivitas	Tanggal	Bukti Aktivitas
1.	<p>Submit ke sistim online jurnal Nama Jurnal: International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS). Submission link: <a href="https://ijphs.iaescore.com/index.php/IJPHS">https://ijphs.iaescore.com/index.php/IJPHS</a></p>	29 April 2024	 <p>The screenshot shows the author's active submissions page on the IJPHS website. The page header includes the IJPHS logo, navigation links (HOME, ABOUT, USER HOME, SEARCH, CURRENT, ARCHIVES, ANNOUNCEMENTS), and user statistics (11 CiteScore, 0.179 SJR, 0.190 SNIP). The main content area displays 'Active Submissions' with a table listing one submission: ID 25029, submitted on 04-29, titled 'THE EFFECT OF FAMILY RESILIENCE INTERVENTION PROGRAM FOR...', and currently in 'IN REVIEW' status. Below the table, there are links for 'Start a New Submission' and 'Refbacks'. The right sidebar contains sections for 'USER' (My Profile, Log Out), 'CITATION ANALYSIS' (Scopus, Google Scholar, etc.), 'SPECIAL LINKS' (Author Guidelines, etc.), 'AUTHOR' (Submissions, Active, Archive), and 'JOURNAL CONTENT'.</p>

2.	Hasil Review tahap 1: Revision required	8 Juli 2024	<div></div> <p>2024-07-08 03:20 PM Subject: [IJPHS] Editor Decision The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).</p> <hr/> <p>-- Paper ID# 25029 -- Authors must strictly follow the guidelines for authors at <a href="http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx">http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx</a> -- Number of minimum references is 30 sources (mainly journal articles) for research paper -- and minimum 50 sources (mainly journal articles) for review paper</p> <hr/> <p>Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs. Puji Lestari,</p> <p>We have reached a decision regarding your submission to International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS), "The Effect of Family Resilience Intervention Program for Caregivers of Schizophrenia Patient: A Randomized Controlled Trial".</p> <p>Our decision is: Revisions Required.</p>
----	--	----------------	---

			<p>1. Authors should have made substantial/intellectual contribution (the new findings with contrast to the existing works). Highlight the main theme of the work with the specific goals of the design and development approach. For preparing your paper strictly adhere to the guide of authors, please read the checklist for preparing your paper for publication. Please try to follow the format as closely as possible.</p> <p>2. Attention Please! Method section</p> <p>The experimental/method section is a straightforward description of what you did in your research and how you did it, clear and detailed at every stage. A detailed method section will make your article reproducible by other researchers, allowing them to trust and build on your work.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A more detailed explanation of the experimental setup is needed: A detailed explanation of all methodologies, instruments, materials, procedures, measurements, and other variables used in the investigation.</li> <li>- A thorough description of the data analysis and decisions for excluding some data and including others.</li> </ul> <p>Upload your revised paper (in MS Word file format or a PDF file if you presented your paper in LaTeX) as a "Author Version" to our online system within 8 weeks using the same ID number (not as a new submission) on Tab "Review." Your revised paper will then be evaluated for final acceptance, revisions, or rejection based on the comments of the editor and reviewers.</p> <p>I look forward for hearing from you</p> <p>Best Regards,  Assoc. Prof. Dr. Lina Handayani  Universitas Ahmad Dahlan  ijphs@iaescore.com</p> <p>-----  -----</p> <p># EDITOR-IN-CHIEF COMMENTS:</p> <p>1. The introduction should contextualize your research and provide any specialized information that the general measurement or control reader may require to comprehend what follows. It must explain the significance of previous work as well as the issues that your work addresses. It should also contain a list of comparable works. The introduction should define the article's contribution(s) and show how it is demonstrated throughout the manuscript. A typical introduction should be as concise as possible and include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a). An outline of the problem.</li> <li>b). A review of the relevant literature, highlighting briefly the major contributors and indicating: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- What the main contributors did?</li> <li>- What the main contributors found?</li> </ul> </li> <li>c). A list of unresolved problems and/or areas for improvement, particularly the one(s) mentioned in your manuscript.</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--

			<p>d). In relation to the foregoing, explain what you will do that has not been done before.</p> <p>e). An outline of how the sections that follow will demonstrate what you did and why it is relevant.</p> <p>2. There is no critical discussion, comparison, or interpretation in this paper. What are the implications of your findings. What will come in useful in the future?</p> <p>-----</p> <p># ASSOCIATE EDITORS COMMENTS:</p> <p>1. The method section must provide a detailed step-by-step description of the research procedure, including all of the information required to replicate the work described in the paper. The Method must include a description of both novel and standard approaches, as well as any necessary justification to persuade the reader that the methods are correct.</p> <p>A well-written Method section:</p> <p>a). Is the "how-to" section of your paper, containing all of the pertinent details for producing your results.</p> <p>b). Persuades the reader that your approach is correct by providing justification for selecting your methodology, which may include analysis or theoretical justification.</p> <p>c). Gives readers the details, algorithms, and techniques necessary to confirm and/or replicate your findings</p> <p>The Methods section explains how the questions and knowledge gaps raised in the Introduction will be addressed in the Results section.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Reviewer A:</p> <p>-----</p> <p>----- "Results and Discussion" section -----</p> <p>In most cases, it is logical to combine the Results and Discussion sections because most readers will find the results meaningless without an explanation. After generating the data charts, tables, and/or visualizations, describe the information presented. Strive to answer the question: What have I learned? Ensure that the evidence backing your claims is presented visually and arranged in a logical sequence. At this point, while explaining something that should be obvious, you can use a straightforward tone. Figure X demonstrates that... . The discussion, rather than the results, is central to any research paper. To demonstrate why your findings are worthy of publication, you must now address the all-important So what? question. How well you do this will ultimately determine the success of your paper.</p> <p>What makes for an effective discussion?</p> <p>When you're ready to write your discussion, you've already stated the purpose of your research and provided a detailed description of the methodology. The discussion informs readers about your study's larger implications based on the findings. Highlighting these implications without overstating the findings can be difficult, especially if you're submitting to a journal</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>that chooses articles based on novelty or potential impact. Regardless of which journal you submit to, the discussion section always serves the same purpose: to summarize what your study's findings mean. A successful discussion section puts your findings in context. It should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the results of your research,</li> <li>- a discussion of related research, and</li> <li>- a comparison between your results and initial hypothesis.</li> </ul> <p>Suggestions for organizing a discussion!!</p> <p>Attempting to condense a full discussion into a single paragraph can add unnecessary stress to the writing process. If possible, give yourself two or three paragraphs to give the reader a thorough understanding of your research as a whole. Here's one approach to organizing an effective discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. First paragraph: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provide essential interpretation based on key findings.</li> <li>- Include a key piece of supporting evidence.</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Second paragraph: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Compare and contrast with previous studies.</li> <li>- Highlight the study's strengths and limitations.</li> <li>- Discuss any unexpected results.</li> </ul> </li> <li>3. Last paragraph <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Summarize the study's purposes.</li> <li>- Emphasize the importance of the study.</li> <li>- Discuss the unanswered questions and potential future research.</li> </ul> </li> </ol> <p>-----</p> <p>Reviewer B:</p> <p>-----</p> <p>This paper entitled "The Effect of Family Resilience Intervention Program for Caregivers of Schizophrenia Patient: A Randomized Controlled Trial" is interesting, but revisions are needed.</p> <p>Introduction: The first section of the main text should describe the problem, any existing solutions you are aware of, and the major constraints. Also, explain what you hope to achieve with your research.</p> <p>Method: Every article should include a thorough Method section that gives the reader enough information to determine whether the study is valid and reproducible. Include enough information so that a knowledgeable reader can repeat the experiment. However, use references and additional materials to highlight previously published procedures.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: In this section, you will present the key or primary findings of your research. Summarize major findings in tables, be concise, and use online supplement tables or figures to keep the paper manageable in length. Here, you should also explain to your readers what the findings mean. Explain how the findings relate to the study's objectives and</p>
--	--	--	--

		<p>hypotheses, as well as how they compare to those of other studies. Explain every possible interpretation of your findings, including the study's limitations.</p> <p>Conclusion: Your conclusion is more than just a summary of what you've previously written. It should enhance your paper by addressing any outstanding questions. Summarize what you've discovered in your research and propose potential applications and extensions. The primary question in your conclusion should be, "What do my findings mean for the research field and my community?"</p> <p>----- - -----</p> <p>Reviewer C:</p> <p>Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field?: Yes</p> <p>Is the paper technically sound?: Yes</p> <p>Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?: Yes</p> <p>Please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words: -</p> <p>Is the abstract a clear description of the paper? : Yes</p> <p>Please suggest change of the abstract :</p> <p>Is the paper well written (clear, concise, and well organized)?: Yes</p> <p>Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?: No</p>
--	--	---

			<p>Please score the paper on a scale of 0 - 10 as per the directions below:</p> <p>9-10 Excellent - Outstanding 7-8 Good 5-6 Average 3-4 Poor 0-2 Very Poor : 7</p> <p>Comments to the Authors (how to improve this paper):: - Table 1 must be mentioned and explained in the body-text before it appears; cite this table.</p> <p>----- Reviewer D: -----</p> <p>Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field?: Yes</p> <p>Is the paper technically sound?: Yes</p> <p>Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?: No</p> <p>Please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words: -</p> <p>Is the abstract a clear description of the paper? : Yes</p> <p>Please suggest change of the abstract : -</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>Is the paper well written (clear, concise, and well organized)?:</p> <p>No</p> <p>Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Please score the paper on a scale of 0 - 10 as per the directions below:</p> <p>9-10 Excellent - Outstanding</p> <p>7-8 Good</p> <p>5-6 Average</p> <p>3-4 Poor</p> <p>0-2 Very Poor</p> <p>:</p> <p>4</p> <p>Comments to the Authors (how to improve this paper)::</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The title of the research paper is between 5 and 10 words in length (conjunction excluded). Keep it short, to the point, and include all of the essential terms in your paper.</li> <li>-Most keywords are five to seven keywords</li> <li>-Re-write the format of citation using IEEE style. In IEEE style, when citing 2 papers, separate the citation into its own bracket. For example in your paper: [1, 2], can be written: [1], [2]. Learn more: <a href="https://ieeauthorcenter.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/IEEE-Reference-Guide.pdf">https://ieeauthorcenter.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/IEEE-Reference-Guide.pdf</a> (Use references manager like Mendeley to assist you).</li> </ul> <p>Intro:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Provide data of mental illness/schizophrenia to address the magnitude of this health issue.</li> <li>-Provide data of social and emotional consequences of living with someone diagnosed with schizophrenia</li> </ul> <p>Method:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Mention the study design.</li> <li>-In the subsection intervention, who validates/developed the intervention?</li> <li>-In determining sample size, support with reference how you put the value of statistical power/effect size.</li> <li>-How do you control the confounding factors?</li> </ul> <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Add more related topics to this study to strengthen the discussion (at least 15 references).</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--



			<p>Acknowledgement</p> <p>Delete if no funding information, if you are not funded do not add any acknowledgement.</p> <p>References</p> <p>-Use IEEE style for references consistently (including DOI if available). Learn more: <a href="https://ieeauthorcenter.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/IEEE-Reference-Guide.pdf">https://ieeauthorcenter.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/IEEE-Reference-Guide.pdf</a> (Use references manager like Mendeley to assist you).</p> <p>-Make sure there are no self-citations and double references</p> <p>-No local reference. Local references are not allowed, please change with international reputable journal or proceeding. Except for laws and government regulations, you can translate into English."</p> <p>-----</p> <p>International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)</p> <p><a href="http://ijphs.iaescore.com">http://ijphs.iaescore.com</a></p>
3.	Hasil Review tahap 2: Revision required	30 Agustus2 024	<div><div><div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div>Last modified</div><div>2024-07-05</div></div><div><div>Uploaded file</div><div>None</div></div><div><div>Editor Version</div><div>None</div></div><div><div>Author Version</div><div><a href="#">25029-52663-1-ED.DOCX</a> 2024-07-28</div></div></div></div><div><div>Round 2</div><div><div><div>Review Version</div><div><a href="#">25029-51182-2-RV.DOCX</a> 2024-08-06</div></div><div><div>Initiated</div><div>2024-08-06</div></div><div><div>Last modified</div><div>2024-08-16</div></div><div><div>Uploaded file</div><div>None</div></div></div></div><div><div>Editor Decision</div><div><div><div>Decision</div><div>Accept Submission 2024-10-16</div></div><div><div>Notify Editor</div><div><div><div></div></div>Editor/Author Email Record <div>2024-11-12</div></div></div><div><div>Editor Version</div><div>None</div></div><div><div>Author Version</div><div><a href="#">25029-52663-2-ED.DOCX</a> 2024-09-20 <a href="#">DELETE</a></div></div><div><div>Upload Author Version</div><div><div>Choose File</div><div>No file chosen</div><div>Upload</div></div></div></div></div></div><div><div><div>International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)</div><div>p-ISSN: 2252-8806, e-ISSN: 2620-4126</div><div>This journal is published by the <a href="#">Intelektual Pustaka Media Utama (IPMU)</a> in collaboration with <a href="#">Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science (IAES)</a>.</div><div><div><div><div></div><div>Stat</div><div>Counter</div></div></div><div><a href="#">View IJPHS Stats</a></div><div><div><div></div><div>CC</div><div>BY</div><div>SA</div></div><div>This work is licensed under a <a href="#">Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License</a>.</div></div></div></div><div><div><div>Registration for IJPHS's Professional Reviewers</div><div><div>AUTHOR</div><div><div>Submissions</div><div><div>Active (0)</div><div>Archive (1)</div><div>New Submission</div></div></div><div><div>JOURNAL CONTENT</div><div><div>Search</div><div><div></div></div><div>Search Scope</div><div>All</div><div>Search</div></div><div><div>Browse</div><div><div>By Issue</div><div>By Author</div><div>By Title</div></div></div><div><div>INFORMATION</div><div><div>For Readers</div><div>For Authors</div><div>For Librarians</div></div></div></div></div></div><div>Activate Windows Go to Settings to activate Windows.</div></div></div></div>









		<p>The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).</p> <hr/> <p>-- Paper ID# 25029  -- Authors must strictly follow the guidelines for authors at <a href="http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx">http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx</a>  -- Number of minimum references is 30 sources (mainly journal articles) for research paper  -- and minimum 50 sources (mainly journal articles) for review paper</p> <hr/> <p>Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: puji lestari,</p> <p>We have reached a decision regarding your submission entitled "The Effect of Family Resilience Intervention Program for Caregivers of Schizophrenia Patient: A Randomized Controlled Trial" to International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS), a peer-reviewed and an OPEN ACCESS journal that makes significant contributions to major areas of public health science.</p> <p>Our decision is to revisions  The goal of your revised paper is to describe novel technical results.  A high quality paper MUST has:  (1) a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing --&gt; explain in "Introduction" section  (2) the proposed solution(s)/method(s)/approach(es)/framework(s)/ ....  (3) results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new.</p> <p>Please submit your revised paper within 6 weeks.</p> <p>I look forward for hearing from you</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Best Regards,  Assoc. Prof. Dr. Lina Handayani</p> <hr/> <p>In preparing your revised paper, you should pay attention to:  1. Please ensure that: all references have been cited in your text; Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text; The references must be presented in numbering and CITATION ORDER is SEQUENTIAL [1], [2], [3], [4], .....  Please download &amp; study our published papers for your references:  - <a href="http://ijphs.iaescore.com">http://ijphs.iaescore.com</a>  - <a href="http://ijere.iaescore.com">http://ijere.iaescore.com</a></p>
--	--	--

		<p>- <a href="http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/edulearn">http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/edulearn</a>  - <a href="http://iaescore.com/journals">http://iaescore.com/journals</a> (other journals)  (Please use “Search” menu under "JOURNAL CONTENT" menu in right side of the site)</p> <p>2 An Introduction should contain the following three (3) parts:  - Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state-of-the art of the field the report is about.  - The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient.  - The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! - authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of authors work. Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers. At least, 5 references (recently journal articles) are used in this section.</p> <p>3. Results and discussion section: The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. This section report the most important findings, including results of statistical analyses as appropriate. You should present the comparison between performance of your approach and other researches. Results given in figures should not be repeated in tables. It is very important to prove that your manuscript has a significant value and not trivial.</p> <p>-----  -----</p> <p>Reviewer A:</p> <p>Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field?:  Yes</p> <p>Is the paper technically sound?:  Yes</p> <p>Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:  Yes</p> <p>Please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words:  -</p> <p>Is the abstract a clear description of the paper?  :  Yes</p>
--	--	---

			<p>Please suggest change of the abstract :</p> <p>Is the paper well written (clear, concise, and well organized)?: Yes</p> <p>Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?: Yes</p> <p>Please score the paper on a scale of 0 - 10 as per the directions below:</p> <p>9-10 Excellent - Outstanding 7-8 Good 5-6 Average 3-4 Poor 0-2 Very Poor : 8</p> <p>Comments to the Authors (how to improve this paper):: The abstract is prepared concisely, the introduction section discusses relevant matters, the concluding section contains a summary of the contents of the paper, for sufficient references or can be added further. Your paper conforms to the IJPHS journal format.</p> <p>----- -----</p> <p>Reviewer B:</p> <p>Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field?: Yes</p> <p>Is the paper technically sound?: Yes</p> <p>Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>Yes</p> <p>Please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words:</p> <p>-</p> <p>Is the abstract a clear description of the paper?</p> <p>:</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Please suggest change of the abstract</p> <p>:</p> <p>-</p> <p>Is the paper well written (clear, concise, and well organized)?:</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Please score the paper on a scale of 0 - 10 as per the directions below:</p> <p>9-10 Excellent - Outstanding</p> <p>7-8 Good</p> <p>5-6 Average</p> <p>3-4 Poor</p> <p>0-2 Very Poor</p> <p>:</p> <p>7</p> <p>Comments to the Authors (how to improve this paper)::</p> <p>Thank you for the revision. The authors have made revisions according to comments in round 1. I do not have any major comments, however, I suggest some minor corrections and suggestions.</p> <p>Suggest for title: The Effect of Family Resilience Intervention Program for Caregivers of Schizophrenia Patient</p> <p>Citation:</p> <p>-Missing citation no. 18</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>-Mention citation based on order. In your paper, ref no. 27 should be mentioned after citation no. 26. For example in your paper: "... families [5],[25],[26],[28],[29]. Previous studies show that psychoeducational therapies, including family-led supportive interventions, family-focused interventions, and online "mi-spot" interventions, can improve the mental health and wellbeing of caregivers living with schizophrenia patients, but current data has limitations [27].</p> <p>-Use references manager like Mendeley, etc to assist you regarding the citation</p> <p>References: -Use IEEE style for references consistently (including DOI if available). Learn more: <a href="https://ieeauthorcenter.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/IEEE-Reference-Guide.pdf">https://ieeauthorcenter.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/IEEE-Reference-Guide.pdf</a> (Use references manager like Mendeley to assist you).</p> <p>References checklist:</p> <p>-Excessive self-citations (Max 5 article) -No double references -Consider to removing the out-of-date references and replacing the newest references (at least the last 5 years)</p> <p>Authors Bio: -According to this journal requirement, they asked to at least input the profile link of Orcid of each author (it is better if authors provide all links of profile Google Scholar, Web of Science, Scopus ID).</p> <p>-----</p> <hr/> <p>International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS) <a href="http://ijphs.iaescore.com">http://ijphs.iaescore.com</a></p>
4.	Menanyakan progress hasil review pasca revisi tahap 2	25 September 2024	<p>2024-09-25 04:52 AM Subject: The Effect of Family Resilience Intervention Program for Caregivers of Schizophrenia Patient: A Randomized Controlled Trial The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).</p> <hr/> <p>Dear editorial team, thankyou for your kind help and guidance. hereby we sent our revised paper. kindly please check attachment file. thakyou</p> <hr/> <p>International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS) <a href="http://ijphs.iaescore.com">http://ijphs.iaescore.com</a></p>

5.	Menanyakan progress hasil review pasca revisi tahap 2	14 Oktober 2024	<div>2024-10-14 05:59 AM</div> <div>Subject: The Effect of Family Resilience Intervention Program for Caregivers of Schizophrenia Patient: A Randomized Controlled Trial</div> <div>The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).</div> <div></div> <div>Dear editorial team,</div> <div>Thank you for your kind help and guidance. We have upload sent our revised paper. However, in the online submission there is still revision require. Could you please kindly help me to update and hope to have letter of acceptance soon.</div> <div></div> <div>International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)</div> <div>http://ijphs.iaescore.com</div>														
6.	Accepted.	16 Oktober 2024	<div><div><div><div><div><div></div><div>Inbox (47) - rena.rns...</div><div> STIKEP PPNI JAWA...</div><div> Register</div><div> Paraphrasing Tool  ...</div><div> Gmail</div><div> YouTube</div><div> Merge P...</div></div></div><div><div></div><div>International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)</div><div>Intelektual Pus Media Utam</div></div><div><div>HOME</div><div>ABOUT</div><div>USER HOME</div><div>SEARCH</div><div>CURRENT</div><div>ARCHIVES</div><div>ANNOUNCEMENTS</div></div><div>Home &gt; User &gt; Author &gt; Archive</div><div>Archive</div><div>ACTIVE ARCHIVE</div><div><table><tr><th>ID</th><th>MM-DD SUBMIT</th><th>SEC</th><th>AUTHORS</th><th>TITLE</th><th>VIEWS</th><th>STATUS</th></tr><tr><td>25029</td><td>04-29</td><td>Promotion</td><td>Lestari, Liyanovitasari, Prabowo</td><td>THE EFFECT OF FAMILY RESILIENCE INTERVENTION PROGRAM FOR...</td><td>0</td><td>Vol 14, No 2: June 2025</td></tr></table></div><div>1 - 1 of 1 Items</div></div><div>2024-10-16 04:41 AM</div><div>Subject: [IJPHS] Editor Decision</div><div>The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).</div><div></div><div>-- Paper ID# 25029</div><div>-- Authors must strictly follow the guidelines for authors at http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx</div><div>-- Number of minimum references is 30 sources (mainly journal articles) for research paper</div></div></div>	ID	MM-DD SUBMIT	SEC	AUTHORS	TITLE	VIEWS	STATUS	25029	04-29	Promotion	Lestari, Liyanovitasari, Prabowo	THE EFFECT OF FAMILY RESILIENCE INTERVENTION PROGRAM FOR...	0	Vol 14, No 2: June 2025
ID	MM-DD SUBMIT	SEC	AUTHORS	TITLE	VIEWS	STATUS											
25029	04-29	Promotion	Lestari, Liyanovitasari, Prabowo	THE EFFECT OF FAMILY RESILIENCE INTERVENTION PROGRAM FOR...	0	Vol 14, No 2: June 2025											

			<p>-- and minimum 50 sources (mainly journal articles) for review paper</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Puji Lestari,</p> <p>It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled "The Effect of Family Resilience Intervention Program for Caregivers of Schizophrenia Patient: A Randomized Controlled Trial" is initially <b>ACCEPTED</b> and <b>will be published</b> on the International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS). This journal is accredited SINTA 1 by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia and indexed by Scopus since 2019 issues (<a href="https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21101029728">https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21101029728</a>). Congratulations!</p> <p>Please prepare your final camera-ready paper (in MS Word or LATEX file format) adheres to every detail of the guide of authors (MS Word: <a href="http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx">http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx</a>, or <a href="http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.rar">http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.rar</a> for LATEX file format), and check it for spelling/grammatical mistakes. Then you should upload your final paper though our online system (as "author version" under our decision, NOT as new submission).</p> <p>You should submit your camera-ready paper along with your payment receipt and similarity report (that less than 20%) within 6 weeks.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you.</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>Best Regards,  Assoc. Prof. Dr. Lina Handayani  Universitas Ahmad Dahlan  ijphs@iaescore.com</p> <p>-----</p> <p>You should submit all your documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Camera-ready paper</li> <li>2. Similarity report, along with</li> <li>3. Your payment evidence</li> </ol> <p>to email: <a href="mailto:ijphs@iaescore.com">ijphs@iaescore.com</a> within 6 weeks.  All correspondence should be addressed to the emails (support by phone is not provided).</p> <p><b>IMPORTANT!!!</b></p>
--	--	--	---



			<p>1). PLEASE ADHERE STRICTLY THE GUIDE OF AUTHORS !! <a href="http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx">http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx</a> and pay attention to the checklist for preparing your FINAL paper for publication:  <a href="http://ijphs.iaescore.com/index.php/IJPHS/about/editorialPolicies#custom-5">http://ijphs.iaescore.com/index.php/IJPHS/about/editorialPolicies#custom-5</a></p> <p>2). It is mandatory to present final paper in the sections structure "IMRADC style":  1. INTRODUCTION  2. The Proposed Method/Framework/Procedure specifically designed (optional)  3. METHOD  4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION  5. CONCLUSION  See <a href="http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx">http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx</a></p> <p>3). It is mandatory!! Add biographies of authors as our template (include links to the authors' profiles, do not delete any icons in the template). See <a href="http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx">http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx</a>  --&gt; Provide links for all authors to the 4 icons (Scholar, Scopus, Publons and ORCID)</p> <p>4). Use different PATTERNS for presenting different results in your graphics (instead of different colors). It is mandatory!! Re-check all your figures. See <a href="http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx">http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx</a></p> <p>5). Please ensure that all references have been cited in your text. Use a tool such as EndNote, Mendeley, or Zotero for reference management and formatting, and choose IEEE style. Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text in square brackets. For example, the first citation [1], the second citation [2], and the third and fourth citations [3], [4]. When citing multiple sources at once, the preferred method is to list each number separately, in its own brackets, using a comma or dash between numbers, as such: [1], [3], [5]. It is not necessary to mention an author's name, pages used, or date of publication in the in-text citation. Instead, refer to the source with a number in a square bracket, e.g. [9], that will then correspond to the full citation in your reference list. Examples of in-text citations:  This theory was first put forward in 1970 [9].  Zadeh [10] has argued that ...  Several recent studies [7], [9], [11]-[15] have suggested that....  ... end of the line for my research [16].</p> <p>6). Please present all references as complete as possible and use IEEE style (include information of DOIs, volume, number, pages, etc). If it is available, DOI information is mandatory!! See <a href="http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx">http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx</a></p> <p>-----</p> <p>In order to cover part of the publication cost, each accepted paper is charged: USD 265 (~IDR 3850K).  This charge is for the first 8 pages, and if any published manuscript over 8 pages will incur extra charges USD 50 (~IDR</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>800K) per page.</p> <p>The payment should be made by bank transfer (T/T):</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Bank Account name (please be exact)/Beneficiary: LINA HANDAYANI  Bank Name: CIMB NIAGA Bank  Branch Office: Kusumanegara Yogyakarta  City: Yogyakarta  Country : Indonesia  Bank Account #: 760164155700  SWIFT Code: BNIAIDJAXXX (PT. BANK CIMB NIAGA, TBK. in JAKARTA)</p> <p>or as an alternative of the bank transfer, through PayPal to email: info@iaesjournal.com</p> <p>-----</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Reviewer A:</p> <p>Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field?:  Yes</p> <p>Is the paper technically sound?:  Yes</p> <p>Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:  Yes</p> <p>Please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words:  -</p> <p>Is the abstract a clear description of the paper?  :  Yes</p> <p>Please suggest change of the abstract  :</p>
--	--	--	--

		<p>Is the paper well written (clear, concise, and well organized)?: Yes</p> <p>Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?: Yes</p> <p>Please score the paper on a scale of 0 - 10 as per the directions below:</p> <p>9-10 Excellent - Outstanding 7-8 Good 5-6 Average 3-4 Poor 0-2 Very Poor : 8</p> <p>Comments to the Authors (how to improve this paper):: The abstract is prepared concisely, the introduction section discusses relevant matters, the concluding section contains a summary of the contents of the paper, for sufficient references or can be added further. Your paper conforms to the IJPHS journal format.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Reviewer B:</p> <p>Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field?: Yes</p> <p>Is the paper technically sound?: Yes</p> <p>Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?: Yes</p> <p>Please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words: -</p>
--	--	--

			<p>Is the abstract a clear description of the paper?</p> <p>:</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Please suggest change of the abstract</p> <p>:</p> <p>-</p> <p>Is the paper well written (clear, concise, and well organized)?:</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Please score the paper on a scale of 0 - 10 as per the directions below:</p> <p>9-10 Excellent - Outstanding</p> <p>7-8 Good</p> <p>5-6 Average</p> <p>3-4 Poor</p> <p>0-2 Very Poor</p> <p>:</p> <p>7</p> <p>Comments to the Authors (how to improve this paper)::</p> <p>Thank you for the revision. The authors have made revisions according to comments in round 1. I do not have any major comments, however, I suggest some minor corrections and suggestions.</p> <p>Suggest for title: The Effect of Family Resilience Intervention Program for Caregivers of Schizophrenia Patient</p> <p>Citation:</p> <p>-Missing citation no. 18</p> <p>-Mention citation based on order. In your paper, ref no. 27 should be mentioned after citation no. 26.</p> <p>For example in your paper:</p> <p>"... families [5],[25],[26],[28],[29]. Previous studies show that psychoeducational therapies, including family-led supportive interventions, family-focused interventions, and online "mi-spot" interventions, can improve the mental health and wellbeing of caregivers living with schizophrenia patients, but current data has limitations [27].</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>-Use references manager like Mendeley, etc to assist you regarding the citation</p> <p>References:</p> <p>-Use IEEE style for references consistently (including DOI if available). Learn more: <a href="https://ieeauthorcenter.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/IEEE-Reference-Guide.pdf">https://ieeauthorcenter.ieee.org/wp-content/uploads/IEEE-Reference-Guide.pdf</a> (Use references manager like Mendeley to assist you).</p> <p>References checklist:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Excessive self-citations (Max 5 article)</li> <li>-No double references</li> <li>-Consider to removing the out-of-date references and replacing the newest references (at least the last 5 years)</li> </ul> <p>Authors Bio:</p> <p>-According to this journal requirement, they asked to at least input the profile link of Orcid of each author (it is better if authors provide all links of profile Google Scholar, Web of Science, Scopus ID).</p> <p>-----</p> <hr/> <p>International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)  <a href="http://ijphs.iaescore.com">http://ijphs.iaescore.com</a></p>
--	--	--	---

# The Effect of Family Resilience Intervention Program for Caregivers of Schizophrenia Patient: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Puji Lestari<sup>1</sup>, Liyanovitasari<sup>1</sup>, Heri Prabowo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health, Universitas Ngudi Waluyo, Semarang, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Management Study Program, Faculty of Economy and Business, Universitas PGRI, Semarang, Indonesia

## Article Info

### Article history:

Received month dd, yyyy

Revised month dd, yyyy

Accepted month dd, yyyy

### Keywords:

Caregivers

Family resilience

Family functioning

Schizophrenia,

Clinical trial

## ABSTRACT

Caregivers with schizophrenia face physical, psychological, and financial burdens. Although existing research supports the efficacy of interventions to improve the mental health and wellbeing of caregivers living with schizophrenia patients, there are a number of limitations to the existing evidence. This study aims to assess the effectiveness of a family resilience intervention program for caregivers with schizophrenia using a randomized controlled trial in Central Java, Indonesia. This is a non-blinded study with two parallel arms and an active control group. The intervention group received a combination of face-to-face and interactive online delivery strategies for six weeks. The Conner–Davidson resilience scale and McMasters Family Assessment Device were used to measure study outcomes. The study uses repeated measures analysis of variance, Cohen' d test, and general estimate equations to analyze the data. The study involved over 230 caregivers and found that the family resilience intervention program significantly improved resilience and family functioning scores after the intervention. The study also revealed a moderate effect size on resilience and family functioning. The study suggests that primary healthcare centers should be involved in early detection and monitoring of psychosocial issues within families to maintain and improve their mental health.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.



## Corresponding Author:

Puji Lestari

Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health, Universitas Ngudi Waluyo, Semarang, Indonesia

Diponegoro Street No. 186, Gedanganak, East Ungaran, Semarang, Central Java, 50512, Indonesia

Email: pujilestari250582@gmail.com

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia is a persistent condition characterized by cognitive decline, false beliefs, sensory perceptions without stimuli, social avoidance, and reduced vitality, which can significantly impact an individual's quality of life [1]. The global prevalence of schizophrenia is 0.32%, meaning that it affects about 1 in every 222 individuals. It is seen as less common in comparison to other mental disorders [2]. In Indonesia, schizophrenia prevalence is 4.0%, with a range of 3.7%-4.4%, and 6.2-10.0% of individuals do not seek treatment from healthcare or non-healthcare facilities [3]. The financial impact of schizophrenia on healthcare providers and payers is substantial. In Indonesia, annual direct expenditures for schizophrenia, bipolar illness, depression, and anxiety disorders are estimated to be \$150 million, \$62.9 million, \$18.9 million, and \$4.2 million respectively [3].

The increase in the process of deinstitutionalization of individuals with mental illness has led to family members taking on the responsibility of providing care [4], [5]. Providing care for a patient with schizophrenia is a demanding task. The social and emotional consequences of living with someone diagnosed with

schizophrenia extend beyond the affected individuals themselves and also affect other members of their household [6]. Family caregivers have heightened levels of stress due to the demands of their caregiving responsibilities, financial burdens, and the stress on their relationships with family members [7],[8],[9],[10],[11],[12]. Adapting to the job of caretaker may be challenging for many people because of the strain on family connections brought on by the disease's behavior and the associated cultural and societal stigmas [13],[14].

While the experience of living with schizophrenia patients can increase vulnerability to mental ill-health, there is growing evidence that shows some families can effectively handle the effects of schizophrenia on their life, even though living with a person who has the disorder increases the risk of mental illness [15],[16]. Such families use their disease management experience to strengthen connections, coping skills, refocus priorities, identify opportunities, and adapt to the circumstance to operate as 'healthy' families [16], [17]. A resilient family may sustain good family functioning, adjust to harsh life events, and build strengths and talents [19],[20].

A framework for family resilience may provide guidance for initiatives aimed at promoting mental health. Strategies aimed at increasing wellbeing may specifically address the mechanisms and elements related to managing, adapting, and developing resilience [20]. Theoretical models of family resilience outline a dynamic process by which families may effectively adapt to change, manage stresses, use their strengths, utilize protective factors, and alter their functioning to promote optimum adaptation in the face of challenging events [21],[22],[23]. Interventions focused on promoting mental health through resilience can help families identify their strengths, acknowledge the factors that protect them, and utilize available resources within their family and environment. These interventions also offer opportunities to practice specific strategies that enhance coping skills and improve family functioning [24].

Interventions targeting the mental health and well-being of caregivers who live with patients diagnosed with schizophrenia have shown that assisting families in recognizing effective coping strategies, improving family dynamics, and accessing resources such as social support led to positive outcomes for both the patients and their families [5],[25],[26],[28],[29]. Previous studies show that psychoeducational therapies, including family-led supportive interventions, family-focused interventions, and online "mi-spot" interventions, can improve the mental health and wellbeing of caregivers living with schizophrenia patients, but current data has limitations [27]. Research in Indonesia has shown limited efforts to directly target family resilience through interventions, particularly in the care of individuals with schizophrenia. A family resilience intervention program, based on family resilience theory, aims to improve mental well-being and support caregivers by recognizing strengths and implementing protective characteristics, such as family dynamics, coping abilities, and social support. Therefore, the aim of this study is to assess the efficacy of family resilience intervention program for caregivers with schizophrenia patients using a randomized controlled trial.

## 2. METHOD

### 2.1. Study design and setting

This study was conducted using randomized controlled trial with non-blind trial that includes two parallel arms and an active control group. The study followed the CONSORT Extension guideline proposed by Eysenbach [30]. The location is a Public Health Communities in Central Java, Indonesia, which offers healthcare services to over 26,842 individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia annually, all of whom are residents of Central Java, Indonesia.

### 2.2. Intervention

The intervention applied theory-based family resilience intervention program developed by Ren [31], where caregivers living with schizophrenia patients get escalating degrees of assistance depending on the severity of their suffering. The program consists of three stages, namely socialization routinely through social media, targeted socialization, and active socialization (Table 1). In the stage one, a family resilience and wellness fact sheet were distributed as part of a regular procedure. Then, in stage two, a booklet with information and activities focusing on caregivers' family resilience and wellbeing was sent to specific individuals. This stage utilized a research methodology that involves measuring the same variables before and after the intervention. In stage three, caregivers actively participated in a social support group. The method for spreading information and the plan for conducting research at each stage of the intervention are described in Figure 1.

Table 1. The content of theory-based family resilience intervention program [31]

Stage	Content	Media
Socialization routinely through social media	a. Every caregiver is released from the mental health institution provided with a Family Resilience and Wellbeing Fact Sheet.	Fact sheet, two-sided, A4 document

Targeted socialization	b.	The Fact Sheet offers concise psycho-educational information and practical techniques to enhance resilience in families.	Booklet
	a.	The booklet uses a cognitive behavioral approach to enhance family resilience by promoting parents to recognize their strengths, learn about resilient family attributes, set goals, and implement measures.	
	b.	It includes an introduction, guidance on establishing a strong family, advice on developing a resilient family, and future planning.	
Active socialization	a.	The Parent Information Support Group is an educational, supportive, and skill-building program designed for parents experiencing psychological distress.	Group discussion
	b.	It includes information from a booklet and allows participants to engage in discussions and practice tactics with peer support.	

The intervention group received a six-week program combining face-to-face and interactive internet delivery. The first week involved a four-hour session for caregivers to connect, discuss experiences, and interact with facilitators. The program accommodated up to 15 participants and was led by two nursing-experienced facilitators. Weeks two to five saw an online forum moderator uploading content about family resilience techniques. The final session was in-person for caregivers to discuss their experiences and assess their involvement. A facilitator handbook provided comprehensive information on session structure and content. The program was delivered with the help of existing service providers, including nurses at public health centers and caregiver support services.

### 2.3. Sample

This research involved 230 caregivers aged 18 and above. The study excluded caregivers who were pregnant, had vision or hearing impairments, or had mental or cognitive disorders. The sample size was determined using G-power analysis software version 3.1. The calculations were based on a confidence interval of 95%, an explanatory power of 80%, and a threshold of  $d=0.33$  [32] to determine statistical significance for the intervention. As a result, it was projected that a sample size of 230 with 115 participants in each in order to accommodate a projected attrition rate of 10%.

Eligible caregivers were chosen using a convenience sample technique and randomly allocated to each group using the block randomization method. Each group was labeled A or B, with a block size of 4. The randomization list was created using a web-based application (<https://www.sealedenvelope.com/simple-randomiser/v1/lists>) [33], with the third author creating the random allocation sequence. The first author recruited individuals and allocated them to their respective groups.

### 2.4. Instruments

The first survey focused on the caregivers' demographic information. The socio-demographic characteristics of the caregiver encompassed factors such as age, gender, educational attainment, relationship to the patient, length of time providing care, occupational status, economic situation, insurance coverage, housing situation, the number of family members (including siblings, children, and spouse), and the presence of another family member with a mental health condition.

The Conner-Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC) is a tool used to quantify the degree of resilience [34]. This survey consists of 25 questions, each rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 0 to 4. The overall scores go from zero to 100. The threshold for this questionnaire is set at 50, where a higher score indicates a greater level of resilience. The internal consistency, as measured by Cronbach's  $\alpha$ , for the complete scale was 0.89. The test-retest reliability was evaluated in a sample of 24 participants from the clinical trials of the generalized anxiety disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder groups. Furthermore, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of this scale in the present investigation (before to intervention) was 0.90.

The McMasters Family Assessment Device (FAD) was used to quantify family functioning [35]. The Family Assessment Device (FAD) evaluates both the positive aspects and the drawbacks of family functioning across seven dimensions. It consists of 50 questions that cover areas such as problem resolution, communication, roles, emotional response, emotional engagement, behavior control, and overall functioning [29]. Statements are used to define different characteristics of family functioning. Respondents indicate their level of agreement on a four-point scale ranging from 0 to 4. Lower scores on the scale imply better family functioning. The FAD has sufficient internal and test-retest reliability [36]. Furthermore, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of this scale in the present investigation (before to intervention) was 0.92.



## 2.5. Data collection

The sampling began after the requisite authorizations were acquired from the authorities of the public health center in Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia. The primary focus was to assess and document the specific characteristics of individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia. Subsequently, the family member entrusted with the duties of maintaining cleanliness, administering therapy, providing care, and offering support to the patient was sent an invitation to participate in the research. The signed informed consent form was acquired subsequent to the explanation of the research methodology. Participants who missed more than two training sessions were eliminated. The control group participants were given the standard care. The families were provided with the option to seek assistance from psychologist offices and institutes in the event of any issues. The intervention group samples were stratified into subgroups of five to six individuals, taking into account factors such as distance and working circumstances. The intervention was conducted using a combination of lecturing, Socratic questioning, and the distribution of booklets. The outcome measures were evaluated online at the beginning, immediately after the intervention, and during a follow-up two months later.

## 2.6. Data analysis

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS software version 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A p-value below 0.05 was deemed to be statistically significant. The independent T-test, Fisher's exact test, and chi-square test were used to compare the individual characteristics of the groups. After analyzing the data from the FAD questionnaire, it was found that the functional score followed a normal distribution. Consequently, the repeated measures analysis of variance and Cohen's d test were used to assess the impact of the intervention on the functioning of the families at three different phases of the research. The study applied General Estimation Equation (GEE) to control confounding factors and detect main effect of different time periods within each group for two dependent variables (resilience and family functioning).

## 2.7. Ethical consideration

The Ethics Committee of Universitas Ngudi Waluyo University granted clearance for this research on June 16, 2023, with the assigned approval number 145/KEP/EC/UNW/2023. All participants were given an explanation of the research aims, methods, benefits, confidentiality, and hazards, and their informed permission was acquired. The participants were also notified of their entitlement to refuse participation or withdraw voluntarily before to or during the research without incurring any repercussions. Before collecting data, the researchers provided a comprehensive explanation to potential volunteers about the significance of their participation in relation to the existing circumstances, in order to avoid the Hawthorne effect. Participant confidentiality was maintained and data security measures were used.

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 3.1. Results

A total of 240 caregivers were eligible at baseline. A total of 230 caregivers engaged in this research throughout the registration procedure, resulting in a response rate of 95.8%. Approximately ten persons refused to participate. Every participant successfully finished the session and the following follow-up evaluation (Figure 1).

Demographic comparison between intervention and control group. The intervention group had a mean age of 33.7 (SD=2.55) and a duration of care of 9.56 (SD=3.98) years. 41.7% of the group had completed junior to senior high school, 76% were mothers, 54% had national insurance, 70% were part of a household, 68% had more than 2 family members, and 42% had another family member with a mental health condition. The participants in control group had a mean age of 34.6 (SD=3.27) and had received care for an average of 8.49 (SD=4.11) years. 45.8% of the participants had completed junior to senior high school education. 81% of the participants were mothers. 59% had national insurance coverage. 74% of the participants were part of a household. 71% had more than 2 family members. Additionally, 40% of the participants had a family member with a mental health condition. There were no significant differences in demographic characteristics between the intervention and control groups.

There was no significant difference between the intervention and control groups at the start of the trial (Table 2). The repeated ANOVA test shown a significant improvement in resilience ratings in the intervention group after the intervention (p-value = 0.001). The impact size was calculated to be 0.352, suggesting a modest effect size. However, the control group did not exhibit a statistically significant improvement.

There was no significant difference between the intervention and control groups at the start of the study (Table 3). The repeated ANOVA test demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in family functioning ratings in the intervention group after the intervention (p-value= 0.001). The effect size was calculated to be 0.391, suggesting a modest effect size. Nevertheless, the control group did not exhibit a substantial improvement.




Figure 1. Study flow chart

Table 2. Comparison of resilience scores in control and intervention group at different time points by ANOVA test

Variable	T0	T1	T2	F	ANOVA Test	Cohen's d test
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD		p-value	
Control group	2.40 $\pm$ 1.13	2.47 $\pm$ 1.42	2.51 $\pm$ 1.21	0.33	0.321	0.132
Intervention group	2.26 $\pm$ 1.21	3.47 $\pm$ 1.33	3.51 $\pm$ 1.56	3.11	0.001	0.352

Note:  $P < 0.05$  are considered significant; baseline (T0), immediately after intervention (T1), and at 2-month follow up (T2)

Table 3. Comparison of family functioning in control and intervention group at different time points by ANOVA test

Variable	T0	T1	T2	F	ANOVA test	Cohen's d test
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD		p-value	
Control group	2.11 $\pm$ 1.15	2.24 $\pm$ 1.06	2.17 $\pm$ 1.23	0.118	0.423	0.07
Intervention group	2.22 $\pm$ 1.03	3.21 $\pm$ 1.34	3.46 $\pm$ 1.57	7.089	0.001	0.391

Note:  $P < 0.05$  are considered significant; baseline (T0), immediately after intervention (T1), and at 2-month follow up (T2)

The study using Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) demonstrated a statistically significant impact of time on both resilience and family functioning ( $p < 0.05$ ). Additionally, the findings indicated substantial impacts on resilience and family functioning when comparing different groups ( $p < 0.05$ ). Table 4 showed substantial impacts in the interaction between time and group for resilience and family functioning, as shown by the findings.

Table 4. Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis of self-care abilities using generalized estimating equations (GEE)

Variables	Source	Type III	df	p-value
		Wald Chi-Square		
Resilience	Time	16.452	2	0.001
	Group	17.321	2	0.003
	Time * Group	17.571	2	0.001
Family functioning	Time	32.541	5	0.002
	Group	35.231	5	0.001
	Time * Group	34.196	5	0.001

### 3.2. Discussion

This research demonstrates that the implementation of a family resilience intervention program has the potential to enhance both resilience and family functioning in caregivers of individuals with schizophrenia. A study conducted by Lim et al [37] in Korea found statistically significant differences in family hardiness, family sense of coherence, family problem-solving communication, family crisis-oriented personal evaluation, social resources, and family adaptation between the experimental group and the control group. This was observed as a result of the Family Resilience Enhancement Program (FREP) [38]. Furthermore, another research found that implementing the Calgary Family Intervention Model, a family support and psychoeducation program, for caregivers of persons with persistent mental disorders had a beneficial impact on the overall health status of the caregivers [39]. However, future treatments targeting the enhancement of family caregivers' wellbeing should address the influence of personality traits, specific situations, and cultural and personal values.

Resilient Family Education has several components such as support, coping strategies, profound communication, gratitude, dedication, and spiritual well-being [40]. Out of these six characteristics, the respondents mostly highlighted that they received the greatest amount of support from their families, which had a significant role in enhancing the mental well-being of their family members. During periods of psychological vulnerability, the presence of social support from family members plays a crucial role in assisting individuals in preserving their physical and mental well-being [41]. The family is an essential and indispensable source of support, providing assistance in several aspects such as physical, emotional, financial, and social support. This support is superior than other types of social and emotional ties [42]. In addition, effective communication is another essential component of Resilient Family Education that may impact the family's capacity to improve mental well-being [43]. Efficient communication within the family may enhance understanding and thus impact an individual's conduct in preserving their mental well-being. Effective communication requires people to have both emotional and spiritual intelligence [44]. One's communication is influenced by emotional and spiritual intelligence, affecting both the manner and substance of their interactions [45]. The higher one's emotional and spiritual intelligence, the more proficient, impactful, and productive their communication will be. In contrast, inadequate communication abilities are indicative of diminished emotional and spiritual intelligence. This statement demonstrates the strong connection between communication and an individual's psychological well-being. Ultimately, the effectiveness of communication is determined by the stability and health of one's mental and emotional state [46]. One of the components covered in the Resilient Family Education program is coping methods [47]. Certain families use coping strategies rooted on acceptance and faith to improve their mental well-being. Families rely on religious assistance as their only means of finding comfort and inspiration [48]. Families assert that engaging in religious rituals provides them with tranquility and fortitude to get through challenging circumstances. Engaging in prayer has the effect of alleviating the distress experienced by family members [49]. Therefore, it is important to enhance religious practices and strengthen faith in order to effectively support and attend to their relatives, irrespective of the patient's harmful conduct [50].

A resilient family is characterized by its ability to cope with stress, efficiently navigate through crises, and retain a positive outlook in the face of all adversities in family life [51]. Family resilience can be enhanced by several positive factors, such as demonstrating appreciation for each other's actions, engaging in meaningful

communication, spending quality time together as a family, maintaining commitment, establishing the family's foundation on religious and cultural values, and effectively managing stress and crises [52]. Regularly practicing these positive behaviors enhances the strength and resilience of a family, enabling them to better cope with challenges during times of crisis [53]. To maximize the advantages that families may get from becoming Resilient Families, it is crucial to undertake the Resilient Family Education program to strengthen families' ability to cope with psychosocial challenges [54]. The objective of this intervention model is to expand families' understanding of the effects of psychological issues, as well as the methods and stages to strengthen family resilience, and the many types of assistance available to aid in the recovery of family members. Researchers have been unable to manipulate or regulate other variables that might potentially impact the family's capacity to maintain their mental well-being, such as educational attainment, emotional intimacy among family members, economic standing, and other related issues.

The strengths of our study include proper randomization, a bigger sample size using standardized measures, and the incorporation of a control group for comparative analysis. There are several limitations. This study conducted in only inside a designated province, while Indonesia has 38 province, which limited to its generalizability. Therefore, the applicability of our results to the whole community and their capacity to be applied to other contexts are restricted. It is recommended to do further investigation using a larger sample size, obtained from a more varied population.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that family resilience intervention program could improve resilience and family functioning among caregiver with schizophrenia patients. It is essential to engage primary healthcare facilities in the early identification and monitoring of psychosocial problems within families and to apply the Resilient Family Education model for the purpose of preserving and enhancing the mental well-being of all families. Future study should include control groups and investigate the many aspects that influence family mental health, such as education level, emotional intimacy among family members, and socioeconomic position.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the Head of Gedanganak Village and all its officials, the Nursing Program of the Health Faculty of Ngudi Waluyo University, and all respondents for making this research possible.










#### REFERENCES

1. Lawrence, Ryan E., Ina Becker, and Patrick McGorry. "Schizophrenia and Other Primary Psychotic Disorders." *Tasman's Psychiatry*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2023. 1-59.
2. WHO. "Schizophrenia". 2022. [Online]. Available <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/schizophrenia#:~:text=Schizophrenia%20affects%20approximately%2024%20million,as%20many%20other%20mental%20disorders>. Accessed: July 27, 2024.
3. Ministry of Health. "National Health Survey". Jakarta, Indonesia. 2023. Pp 146-158
4. Fernandes, Júlio Belo et al. "Barriers to Family Resilience in Caregivers of People Who Have Schizophrenia." *Journal of nursing scholarshi : an official publication of Sigma Theta Tau International Honor Society of Nursing* vol. 53,4 (2021): 393-399. doi:10.1111/jnu.12651
5. Ribé, José M et al. "Quality of life in family caregivers of schizophrenia patients in Spain: caregiver characteristics, caregiving burden, family functioning, and social and professional support." *International journal of psychiatry in clinical practice* vol. 22,1 (2018): 25-33. doi:10.1080/13651501.2017.1360500.
6. Fitryasari, Rizki et al. "Family members' perspective of family Resilience's risk factors in taking care of schizophrenia patients." *International journal of nursing sciences* vol. 5,3 255-261. 23 Jul. 2018, doi:10.1016/j.ijnss.2018.06.002
7. Addo, Rebecca et al. "Economic burden of caregiving for persons with severe mental illness in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review." *PloS one* vol. 13,8 e0199830. 9 Aug. 2018, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0199830.
8. Chen, Pan et al. "Prevalence of insomnia and its association with quality of life in caregivers of psychiatric inpatients during the COVID-19 pandemic: a network analysis." *BMC psychiatry* vol. 23,1 837. 14 Nov. 2023, doi:10.1186/s12888-023-05194-w
9. Clari, Rosarito et al. "Perceived burden and family functioning among informal caregivers of individuals living with schizophrenia in Tanzania: a cross-sectional study." *BMC psychiatry* vol. 22,1 10. 4 Jan. 2022, doi:10.1186/s12888-021-03560-0

10. Wang, Yi-Zhou et al. "Affiliate stigma and caregiving burden among family caregivers of persons with schizophrenia in rural China." *The International journal of social psychiatry* vol. 69,4 (2023): 1024-1032. doi:10.1177/00207640231152206
11. Wan KF, Wong MMC. "Stress and burden faced by family caregivers of people with schizophrenia and early psychosis in Hong Kong". *Intern Med J*. 2019;49 Suppl 1:9-15. doi:10.1111/imj.14166
12. Lamont E., Dickens G. L. Mental health services, care provision, and professional support for people diagnosed with borderline personality disorder: systematic review of service-user, family, and carer perspectives. *Journal of Mental Health (Abingdon, England)*. 2021;30:619–633.
13. Phillips, Rita et al. "The impact of caring for family members with mental illnesses on the caregiver: a scoping review." *Health Promot Int*. 2023;38(3):daac049. doi:10.1093/heapro/daac049
14. Subandi MA et al. "Cultural explanations of psychotic illness and care-seeking of family caregivers in Java, Indonesia." *Transcult Psychiatry*. 2021;58(1):3-13. doi:10.1177/1363461520916290
15. Kulhara, Parmanand et al. "Positive aspects of caregiving in schizophrenia: A review." *World journal of psychiatry* vol. 2,3 (2012): 43-8. doi:10.5498/wjp.v2.i3.43.
16. Roth, David L et al. "Positive Aspects of Family Caregiving for Dementia: Differential Item Functioning by Race." *The journals of gerontology. Series B, Psychological sciences and social sciences* vol. 70,6 (2015): 813-9. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbv034.
17. Roth, David L et al. "Positive Aspects of Family Caregiving for Dementia: Differential Item Functioning by Race." *The journals of gerontology. Series B, Psychological sciences and social sciences* vol. 70,6 (2015): 813-9. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbv034.
18. Gannoni, Anne F, and Rosalyn H Shute. "Parental and child perspectives on adaptation to childhood chronic illness: a qualitative study." *Clinical child psychology and psychiatry* vol. 15,1 (2010): 39-53. doi:10.1177/1359104509338432.
19. S Shiraishi, Nao, and Jacqueline Reilly. "Positive and negative impacts of schizophrenia on family caregivers: a systematic review and qualitative meta-summary." *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology* vol. 54,3 (2019): 277-290. doi:10.1007/s00127-018-1617-8.
20. Bonanno, George A. "Loss, trauma, and human resilience: have we underestimated the human capacity to thrive after extremely aversive events?." *The American psychologist* vol. 59,1 (2004): 20-8. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.59.1.20
21. Walsh F. "A family resilience framework: Innovative practice applications." *Fam Relat*. 2002;51(2):130–137. doi:10.1111/j.1741-3729.2002.00130.x
22. Rolland JS, Walsh F. "Facilitating family resilience with childhood illness and disability." *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2006;18(5):527–538. doi: 10.1097/01.mop.0000245354.83454.68.
23. Benzie K, Mychasiuk R. "Fostering family resiliency: a review of the key protective factors." *Child Fam Soc Work*. 2009;14(1):103–114. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2206.2008.00586.x.
24. McCubbin M, McCubbin H. "Resiliency in Families: A Conceptual Model of Family Adjustment and Adaptation in Response to Stress and Crises." Madison, Wis: University of Wisconsin Publishers; 1996.
25. Padesky CA, Mooney KA. "Strengths-Based Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy: A Four-Step Model to Build Resilience." *Clin Psychol Psychother*. 2012;19(4):283–290. doi: 10.1002/cpp.1795.
26. Behrouian, Maryam et al. "The effect of the emotion regulation training on the resilience of caregivers of patients with schizophrenia: a parallel randomized controlled trial." *BMC psychology* vol. 9,1 39. 2 Mar. 2021, doi:10.1186/s40359-021-00542-5
27. Sampogna, Gaia et al. "Psychosocial interventions for carers of people with severe mental and substance use disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *European psychiatry : the journal of the Association of European Psychiatrists* vol. 66,1 e98. 24 Nov. 2023, doi:10.1192/j.eurpsy.2023.2472
28. Iswanti, Dwi Indah et al. "Effectiveness of an Integrative Empowerment Intervention for Families on Caring and Prevention of Relapse in Schizophrenia Patients." *SAGE open nursing* vol. 10 23779608241231000. 6 Feb. 2024, doi:10.1177/23779608241231000
29. Silaule, Olindah et al. "Strategies to Alleviate the Burden Experienced by Informal Caregivers of Persons With Severe Mental Disorders in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Scoping Review." *Interactive journal of medical research* vol. 13 e48587. 18 Jan. 2024, doi:10.2196/48587
30. Eldridge, Sandra M et al. "CONSORT 2010 statement: extension to randomised pilot and feasibility trials." *Pilot and feasibility studies* vol. 2 64. 21 Oct. 2016, doi:10.1186/s40814-016-0105-8
31. Ren, Liya et al. "Development of a theory-based family resilience intervention program for parents of children with chronic diseases: A Delphi study." *Journal of pediatric nursing* vol. 74 (2024): 41-50. doi:10.1016/j.pedn.2023.11.020
32. Cohen, J. "A power primer." *Psychological bulletin* vol. 112,1 (1992): 155-9. doi:10.1037//0033-2909.112.1.155

33. Mirmahmoodi, Motahareh et al. "The Effect of Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction Group Counseling on Psychological and Inflammatory Responses of the Women With Breast Cancer." *Integrative cancer therapies* vol. 19 (2020): 1534735420946819. doi:10.1177/1534735420946819 19.
34. Connor K, Davidson J. "Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor–Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) *Depress Anxiety*." 2003;18(2):76–82. doi: 10.1002/da.10113.
35. Ryan CE, et al. "*Evaluating and Treating Families: The McMaster Approach*". Hoboken: Taylor & Frances; 2012.
36. Boterhoven de Haan, Katrina L et al. "Reliability and validity of a short version of the general functioning subscale of the McMaster Family Assessment Device." *Family process* vol. 54,1 (2015): 116-23. doi:10.1111/famp.12113.
37. Lim, HeeSu, and KuemSun Han. *Journal of Korean Academy of Nursing* vol. 43,1 (2013): 133-42. doi:10.4040/jkan.2013.43.1.133
38. Kim, G., et al. "Effects of a family resilience enhancement program (FREP) on family adaptation to elderly with dementia in South Korea." *Int J of Gerontol* 13.1 (2019): 54-58.
39. Sari A, Duman ZÇ. "Effects of the family support and psychoeducation program based on the Calgary Family Intervention Model on the coping, psychological distress and psychological resilience levels of the family caregivers of chronic psychiatric patients." *Arch Psychiatr Nurs*. 2022;41:1-10. doi:10.1016/j.apnu.2022.07.014
40. Manning, Lydia, et al. "Spiritual resilience: Understanding the protection and promotion of well-being in the later life." *Journal of religion, spirituality & aging* 31.2 (2019): 168-186.
41. Wang, Qian-Cheng, et al. "Community resilience in city emergency: Exploring the roles of environmental perception, social justice and community attachment in subjective well-being of vulnerable residents." *Sustainable Cities and Society* 97 (2023): 104745.
42. Asay, S., & DeFrain, J. "*The International Family Strengths Model. Marriage and Family, Future of Societ*"y. 2012. World Congress of Families.
43. Huaman, Nilda, et al. "An explanatory model of work-family conflict and resilience as predictors of job satisfaction in nurses: the mediating role of work engagement and communication skills." *Journal of primary care & community health* 14 (2023): 21501319231151380.
44. Integrating Advocacy for Marginalized Children and Families Into Evidence-Based Care During COVID-19: Clinical Vignettes.
45. Arad, Mansour, et al. "Effect of spiritual intelligence training on nurses' skills for communicating with patients—an experimental study." *Journal of education and health promotion* 11.1 (2022): 127.
46. Umberson, Debra, and Jennifer Karas Montez. "Social relationships and health: a flashpoint for health policy." *Journal of health and social behavior* vol. 51 Suppl,Suppl (2010): S54-66. doi:10.1177/0022146510383501
47. Mayordomo, Teresa et al. "Resilience and Coping as Predictors of Well-Being in Adults." *The Journal of psychology* vol. 150,7 (2016): 809-21. doi:10.1080/00223980.2016.1203276.
48. Martin-Storey, Alexa et al. "Family relationship quality during the COVID-19 pandemic: The value of adolescent perceptions of change." *Journal of adolescence* vol. 93 (2021): 190-201. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2021.11.005
49. Hardy, Janet. "Hope As Community: Spiritual Care for Families with Members Who Have Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)." *Canadian Journal of Theology, Mental Health and Disability* 1.2 (2021): 137-146.
50. Owokuhaisa, Judith et al. "Burden of care and coping strategies among informal caregivers of people with behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia in rural south-western Uganda." *BMC geriatrics* vol. 23,1 475. 8 Aug. 2023, doi:10.1186/s12877-023-04129-0
51. Ramadhana, Maulana Rezi. "A dataset for emotional reactions and family resilience during COVID-19 isolation period among Indonesian families." *Data in brief* vol. 31 105946. 30 Jun. 2020, doi:10.1016/j.dib.2020.105946 6
52. Tsibidaki, Assimina. "Anxiety, meaning in life, self-efficacy and resilience in families with one or more members with special educational needs and disability during COVID-19 pandemic in Greece." *Research in developmental disabilities* vol. 109 (2021): 103830. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103830
53. Prime, Heather et al. "Risk and resilience in family well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic." *The American psychologist* vol. 75,5 (2020): 631-643. doi:10.1037/amp0000660
54. Maurović, Ivana, Linda Liebenberg, and Martina Ferić. "A review of family resilience: Understanding the concept and operationalization challenges to inform research and practice." *Child Care in Practice* 26.4 (2020): 337-357.

## BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS

	<p><b>Puji Lestari</b>   is faculty member at Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health, Universitas Ngudi Waluyo, Semarang, Indonesia. She teach nursing course specific on community nursing, family nursing, trauma and crisis. Her research interest was focuss on mental health issues, family empowerment, and family resilience. She can be contacted at email: pujilestari250582@gmail.com.</p>
	<p><b>Liyanovitasari</b>   is faculty member at Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health, Universitas Ngudi Waluyo, Semarang, Indonesia. She teaches nursing course specific on psyctiatic and mental health nursing. Her research interest was focuss on mental health issues, family empowerment, and family resilience. He can be contacted at email: liyanovie05@gmail.com.</p>
	<p><b>Heri Prabowo</b>   is faculty member at Management Study Program, Faculty of Economy and Business, Universitas PGRI, Semarang, Indonesia. His reseach focus on purchahse intention, motivation, and service quality. He serves as Secretary of the Quality Assurance Institute of PGRI University Semarang from 2019 – 2023. He can be contacted at email: heriprabowo@upgris.ac.id.</p>

# The Effect of Family Resilience Intervention Program for Caregivers of Schizophrenia Patient: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Puji Lestari<sup>1</sup>, Liyanovitasari<sup>1</sup>, Heri Prabowo<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health, Universitas Ngudi Waluyo, Semarang, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Management Study Program, Faculty of Economy and Business, Universitas PGRI, Semarang, Indonesia

## Article Info

### Article history:

Received month dd, yyyy

Revised month dd, yyyy

Accepted month dd, yyyy

### Keywords:

Caregivers

Family resilience

Family functioning

Schizophrenia,

Clinical trial

## ABSTRACT

Caregivers with schizophrenia face physical, psychological, and financial burdens. Although existing research supports the efficacy of interventions to improve the mental health and wellbeing of caregivers living with schizophrenia patients, there are a number of limitations to the existing evidence. This study aims to assess the effectiveness of a family resilience intervention program for caregivers with schizophrenia using a randomized controlled trial in Central Java, Indonesia. This is a non-blinded study with two parallel arms and an active control group. The intervention group received a combination of face-to-face and interactive online delivery strategies for six weeks. The Conner–Davidson resilience scale and McMasters Family Assessment Device were used to measure study outcomes. The study uses repeated measures analysis of variance, Cohen' d test, and general estimate equations to analyze the data. The study involved over 230 caregivers and found that the family resilience intervention program significantly improved resilience and family functioning scores after the intervention. The study also revealed a moderate effect size on resilience and family functioning. The study suggests that primary healthcare centers should be involved in early detection and monitoring of psychosocial issues within families to maintain and improve their mental health.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.



## Corresponding Author:

Puji Lestari

Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health, Universitas Ngudi Waluyo, Semarang, Indonesia

Diponegoro Street No. 186, Gedanganak, East Ungaran, Semarang, Central Java, 50512, Indonesia

Email: pujilestari250582@gmail.com

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia is a persistent condition characterized by cognitive decline, false beliefs, sensory perceptions without stimuli, social avoidance, and reduced vitality, which can significantly impact an individual's quality of life [1]. The global prevalence of schizophrenia is 0.32%, meaning that it affects about 1 in every 222 individuals. It is seen as less common in comparison to other mental disorders [2]. In Indonesia, schizophrenia prevalence is 4.0%, with a range of 3.7%-4.4%, and 6.2-10.0% of individuals do not seek treatment from healthcare or non-healthcare facilities [3]. The financial impact of schizophrenia on healthcare providers and payers is substantial. In Indonesia, annual direct expenditures for schizophrenia, bipolar illness, depression, and anxiety disorders are estimated to be \$150 million, \$62.9 million, \$18.9 million, and \$4.2 million respectively [3].

The increase in the process of deinstitutionalization of individuals with mental illness has led to family members taking on the responsibility of providing care [4], [5]. Providing care for a patient with schizophrenia is a demanding task. The social and emotional consequences of living with someone diagnosed with



schizophrenia extend beyond the affected individuals themselves and also affect other members of their household [6]. Family caregivers have heightened levels of stress due to the demands of their caregiving responsibilities, financial burdens, and the stress on their relationships with family members [7],[8],[9],[10],[11],[12]. Adapting to the job of caretaker may be challenging for many people because of the strain on family connections brought on by the disease's behavior and the associated cultural and societal stigmas [13],[14].

While the experience of living with schizophrenia patients can increase vulnerability to mental ill-health, there is growing evidence that shows some families can effectively handle the effects of schizophrenia on their life, even though living with a person who has the disorder increases the risk of mental illness [15],[16]. Such families use their disease management experience to strengthen connections, coping skills, refocus priorities, identify opportunities, and adapt to the circumstance to operate as 'healthy' families [16], [17], [18]. A resilient family may sustain good family functioning, adjust to harsh life events, and build strengths and talents [19],[20].

A framework for family resilience may provide guidance for initiatives aimed at promoting mental health. Strategies aimed at increasing wellbeing may specifically address the mechanisms and elements related to managing, adapting, and developing resilience [20]. Theoretical models of family resilience outline a dynamic process by which families may effectively adapt to change, manage stresses, use their strengths, utilize protective factors, and alter their functioning to promote optimum adaptation in the face of challenging events [21],[22],[23]. Interventions focused on promoting mental health through resilience can help families identify their strengths, acknowledge the factors that protect them, and utilize available resources within their family and environment. These interventions also offer opportunities to practice specific strategies that enhance coping skills and improve family functioning [24].

Interventions targeting the mental health and well-being of caregivers who live with patients diagnosed with schizophrenia have shown that assisting families in recognizing effective coping strategies, improving family dynamics, and accessing resources such as social support led to positive outcomes for both the patients and their families [5],[25],[26],[27],[29]. Previous studies show that psychoeducational therapies, including family-led supportive interventions, family-focused interventions, and online "mi-spot" interventions, can improve the mental health and wellbeing of caregivers living with schizophrenia patients, but current data has limitations [28]. Research in Indonesia has shown limited efforts to directly target family resilience through interventions, particularly in the care of individuals with schizophrenia. A family resilience intervention program, based on family resilience theory, aims to improve mental well-being and support caregivers by recognizing strengths and implementing protective characteristics, such as family dynamics, coping abilities, and social support. Therefore, the aim of this study is to assess the efficacy of family resilience intervention program for caregivers with schizophrenia patients using a randomized controlled trial.

## 2. METHOD

### 2.1. Study design and setting

This study was conducted using randomized controlled trial with non-blind trial that includes two parallel arms and an active control group. The study followed the CONSORT Extension guideline proposed by Eysenbach [30]. The location is a Public Health Communities in Central Java, Indonesia, which offers healthcare services to over 26,842 individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia annually, all of whom are residents of Central Java, Indonesia.

### 2.2. Intervention

The intervention applied theory-based family resilience intervention program developed by Ren [31], where caregivers living with schizophrenia patients get escalating degrees of assistance depending on the severity of their suffering. The program consists of three stages, namely socialization routinely through social media, targeted socialization, and active socialization (Table 1). In the stage one, a family resilience and wellness fact sheet were distributed as part of a regular procedure. Then, in stage two, a booklet with information and activities focusing on caregivers' family resilience and wellbeing was sent to specific individuals. This stage utilized a research methodology that involves measuring the same variables before and after the intervention. In stage three, caregivers actively participated in a social support group. The method for spreading information and the plan for conducting research at each stage of the intervention are described in Figure 1.

Table 1. The content of theory-based family resilience intervention program [31]

Stage	Content	Media
Socialization routinely through social media	a. Every caregiver is released from the mental health institution provided with a Family Resilience and Wellbeing Fact Sheet.	Fact sheet, two-sided, A4 document

Targeted socialization	b.	The Fact Sheet offers concise psycho-educational information and practical techniques to enhance resilience in families.	Booklet
	a.	The booklet uses a cognitive behavioral approach to enhance family resilience by promoting parents to recognize their strengths, learn about resilient family attributes, set goals, and implement measures.	
	b.	It includes an introduction, guidance on establishing a strong family, advice on developing a resilient family, and future planning.	
Active socialization	a.	The Parent Information Support Group is an educational, supportive, and skill-building program designed for parents experiencing psychological distress.	Group discussion
	b.	It includes information from a booklet and allows participants to engage in discussions and practice tactics with peer support.	

The intervention group received a six-week program combining face-to-face and interactive internet delivery. The first week involved a four-hour session for caregivers to connect, discuss experiences, and interact with facilitators. The program accommodated up to 15 participants and was led by two nursing-experienced facilitators. Weeks two to five saw an online forum moderator uploading content about family resilience techniques. The final session was in-person for caregivers to discuss their experiences and assess their involvement. A facilitator handbook provided comprehensive information on session structure and content. The program was delivered with the help of existing service providers, including nurses at public health centers and caregiver support services.

### 2.3. Sample

This research involved 230 caregivers aged 18 and above. The study excluded caregivers who were pregnant, had vision or hearing impairments, or had mental or cognitive disorders. The sample size was determined using G-power analysis software version 3.1. The calculations were based on a confidence interval of 95%, an explanatory power of 80%, and a threshold of  $d=0.33$  [32] to determine statistical significance for the intervention. As a result, it was projected that a sample size of 230 with 115 participants in each in order to accommodate a projected attrition rate of 10%.

Eligible caregivers were chosen using a convenience sample technique and randomly allocated to each group using the block randomization method. Each group was labeled A or B, with a block size of 4. The randomization list was created using a web-based application (<https://www.sealedenvelope.com/simple-randomiser/v1/lists>) [33], with the third author creating the random allocation sequence. The first author recruited individuals and allocated them to their respective groups.

### 2.4. Instruments

The first survey focused on the caregivers' demographic information. The socio-demographic characteristics of the caregiver encompassed factors such as age, gender, educational attainment, relationship to the patient, length of time providing care, occupational status, economic situation, insurance coverage, housing situation, the number of family members (including siblings, children, and spouse), and the presence of another family member with a mental health condition.

The Conner-Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC) is a tool used to quantify the degree of resilience [34]. This survey consists of 25 questions, each rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 0 to 4. The overall scores go from zero to 100. The threshold for this questionnaire is set at 50, where a higher score indicates a greater level of resilience. The internal consistency, as measured by Cronbach's  $\alpha$ , for the complete scale was 0.89. The test-retest reliability was evaluated in a sample of 24 participants from the clinical trials of the generalized anxiety disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder groups. Furthermore, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of this scale in the present investigation (before to intervention) was 0.90.

The McMasters Family Assessment Device (FAD) was used to quantify family functioning [35]. The Family Assessment Device (FAD) evaluates both the positive aspects and the drawbacks of family functioning across seven dimensions. It consists of 50 questions that cover areas such as problem resolution, communication, roles, emotional response, emotional engagement, behavior control, and overall functioning [29]. Statements are used to define different characteristics of family functioning. Respondents indicate their level of agreement on a four-point scale ranging from 0 to 4. Lower scores on the scale imply better family functioning. The FAD has sufficient internal and test-retest reliability [36]. Furthermore, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of this scale in the present investigation (before to intervention) was 0.92.

## 2.5. Data collection

The sampling began after the requisite authorizations were acquired from the authorities of the public health center in Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia. The primary focus was to assess and document the specific characteristics of individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia. Subsequently, the family member entrusted with the duties of maintaining cleanliness, administering therapy, providing care, and offering support to the patient was sent an invitation to participate in the research. The signed informed consent form was acquired subsequent to the explanation of the research methodology. Participants who missed more than two training sessions were eliminated. The control group participants were given the standard care. The families were provided with the option to seek assistance from psychologist offices and institutes in the event of any issues. The intervention group samples were stratified into subgroups of five to six individuals, taking into account factors such as distance and working circumstances. The intervention was conducted using a combination of lecturing, Socratic questioning, and the distribution of booklets. The outcome measures were evaluated online at the beginning, immediately after the intervention, and during a follow-up two months later.

## 2.6. Data analysis

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS software version 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A p-value below 0.05 was deemed to be statistically significant. The independent T-test, Fisher's exact test, and chi-square test were used to compare the individual characteristics of the groups. After analyzing the data from the FAD questionnaire, it was found that the functional score followed a normal distribution. Consequently, the repeated measures analysis of variance and Cohen's d test were used to assess the impact of the intervention on the functioning of the families at three different phases of the research. The study applied General Estimation Equation (GEE) to control confounding factors and detect main effect of different time periods within each group for two dependent variables (resilience and family functioning).

## 2.7. Ethical consideration

The Ethics Committee of Universitas Ngudi Waluyo University granted clearance for this research on June 16, 2023, with the assigned approval number 145/KEP/EC/UNW/2023. All participants were given an explanation of the research aims, methods, benefits, confidentiality, and hazards, and their informed permission was acquired. The participants were also notified of their entitlement to refuse participation or withdraw voluntarily before to or during the research without incurring any repercussions. Before collecting data, the researchers provided a comprehensive explanation to potential volunteers about the significance of their participation in relation to the existing circumstances, in order to avoid the Hawthorne effect. Participant confidentiality was maintained and data security measures were used.

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 3.1. Results

A total of 240 caregivers were eligible at baseline. A total of 230 caregivers engaged in this research throughout the registration procedure, resulting in a response rate of 95.8%. Approximately ten persons refused to participate. Every participant successfully finished the session and the following follow-up evaluation (Figure 1).

Demographic comparison between intervention and control group. The intervention group had a mean age of 33.7 (SD=2.55) and a duration of care of 9.56 (SD=3.98) years. 41.7% of the group had completed junior to senior high school, 76% were mothers, 54% had national insurance, 70% were part of a household, 68% had more than 2 family members, and 42% had another family member with a mental health condition. The participants in control group had a mean age of 34.6 (SD=3.27) and had received care for an average of 8.49 (SD=4.11) years. 45.8% of the participants had completed junior to senior high school education. 81% of the participants were mothers. 59% had national insurance coverage. 74% of the participants were part of a household. 71% had more than 2 family members. Additionally, 40% of the participants had a family member with a mental health condition. There were no significant differences in demographic characteristics between the intervention and control groups.

There was no significant difference between the intervention and control groups at the start of the trial (Table 2). The repeated ANOVA test shown a significant improvement in resilience ratings in the intervention group after the intervention (p-value = 0.001). The impact size was calculated to be 0.352, suggesting a modest effect size. However, the control group did not exhibit a statistically significant improvement.

There was no significant difference between the intervention and control groups at the start of the study (Table 3). The repeated ANOVA test demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in family functioning ratings in the intervention group after the intervention (p-value= 0.001). The effect size was calculated to be 0.391, suggesting a modest effect size. Nevertheless, the control group did not exhibit a substantial improvement.




Figure 1. Study flow chart

Table 2. Comparison of resilience scores in control and intervention group at different time points by ANOVA test

Variable	T0	T1	T2	F	ANOVA Test	Cohen's d test
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD		p-value	
Control group	2.40 $\pm$ 1.13	2.47 $\pm$ 1.42	2.51 $\pm$ 1.21	0.33	0.321	0.132
Intervention group	2.26 $\pm$ 1.21	3.47 $\pm$ 1.33	3.51 $\pm$ 1.56	3.11	0.001	0.352

Note:  $P < 0.05$  are considered significant; baseline (T0), immediately after intervention (T1), and at 2-month follow up (T2)

Table 3. Comparison of family functioning in control and intervention group at different time points by ANOVA test

Variable	T0	T1	T2	F	ANOVA test	Cohen's d test
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD		p-value	
Control group	2.11 $\pm$ 1.15	2.24 $\pm$ 1.06	2.17 $\pm$ 1.23	0.118	0.423	0.07
Intervention group	2.22 $\pm$ 1.03	3.21 $\pm$ 1.34	3.46 $\pm$ 1.57	7.089	0.001	0.391

Note:  $P < 0.05$  are considered significant; baseline (T0), immediately after intervention (T1), and at 2-month follow up (T2)

The study using Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) demonstrated a statistically significant impact of time on both resilience and family functioning ( $p < 0.05$ ). Additionally, the findings indicated substantial impacts on resilience and family functioning when comparing different groups ( $p < 0.05$ ). Table 4 showed substantial impacts in the interaction between time and group for resilience and family functioning, as shown by the findings.

Table 4. Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis of self-care abilities using generalized estimating equations (GEE)

Variables	Source	Type III	df	p-value
		Wald Chi-Square		
Resilience	Time	16.452	2	0.001
	Group	17.321	2	0.003
	Time * Group	17.571	2	0.001
Family functioning	Time	32.541	5	0.002
	Group	35.231	5	0.001
	Time * Group	34.196	5	0.001

### 3.2. Discussion

This research demonstrates that the implementation of a family resilience intervention program has the potential to enhance both resilience and family functioning in caregivers of individuals with schizophrenia. A study conducted by Lim et al [37] in Korea found statistically significant differences in family hardness, family sense of coherence, family problem-solving communication, family crisis-oriented personal evaluation, social resources, and family adaptation between the experimental group and the control group. This was observed as a result of the Family Resilience Enhancement Program (FREP) [38]. Furthermore, another research found that implementing the Calgary Family Intervention Model, a family support and psychoeducation program, for caregivers of persons with persistent mental disorders had a beneficial impact on the overall health status of the caregivers [39]. However, future treatments targeting the enhancement of family caregivers' wellbeing should address the influence of personality traits, specific situations, and cultural and personal values.

Resilient Family Education has several components such as support, coping strategies, profound communication, gratitude, dedication, and spiritual well-being [40]. Out of these six characteristics, the respondents mostly highlighted that they received the greatest amount of support from their families, which had a significant role in enhancing the mental well-being of their family members. During periods of psychological vulnerability, the presence of social support from family members plays a crucial role in assisting individuals in preserving their physical and mental well-being [41]. The family is an essential and indispensable source of support, providing assistance in several aspects such as physical, emotional, financial, and social support. This support is superior than other types of social and emotional ties [42]. In addition, effective communication is another essential component of Resilient Family Education that may impact the family's capacity to improve mental well-being [43]. Efficient communication within the family may enhance understanding and thus impact an individual's conduct in preserving their mental well-being. Effective communication requires people to have both emotional and spiritual intelligence [44]. One's communication is influenced by emotional and spiritual intelligence, affecting both the manner and substance of their interactions [45]. The higher one's emotional and spiritual intelligence, the more proficient, impactful, and productive their communication will be. In contrast, inadequate communication abilities are indicative of diminished emotional and spiritual intelligence. This statement demonstrates the strong connection between communication and an individual's psychological well-being. Ultimately, the effectiveness of communication is determined by the stability and health of one's mental and emotional state [46]. One of the components covered in the Resilient Family Education program is coping methods [47]. Certain families use coping strategies rooted on acceptance and faith to improve their mental well-being. Families rely on religious assistance as their only means of finding comfort and inspiration [48]. Families assert that engaging in religious rituals provides them with tranquility and fortitude to get through challenging circumstances. Engaging in prayer has the effect of alleviating the distress experienced by family members [49]. Therefore, it is important to enhance religious practices and strengthen faith in order to effectively support and attend to their relatives, irrespective of the patient's harmful conduct [50].

A resilient family is characterized by its ability to cope with stress, efficiently navigate through crises, and retain a positive outlook in the face of all adversities in family life [51]. Family resilience can be enhanced by several positive factors, such as demonstrating appreciation for each other's actions, engaging in meaningful

communication, spending quality time together as a family, maintaining commitment, establishing the family's foundation on religious and cultural values, and effectively managing stress and crises [52]. Regularly practicing these positive behaviors enhances the strength and resilience of a family, enabling them to better cope with challenges during times of crisis [53]. To maximize the advantages that families may get from becoming Resilient Families, it is crucial to undertake the Resilient Family Education program to strengthen families' ability to cope with psychosocial challenges [54]. The objective of this intervention model is to expand families' understanding of the effects of psychological issues, as well as the methods and stages to strengthen family resilience, and the many types of assistance available to aid in the recovery of family members. Researchers have been unable to manipulate or regulate other variables that might potentially impact the family's capacity to maintain their mental well-being, such as educational attainment, emotional intimacy among family members, economic standing, and other related issues.

The strengths of our study include proper randomization, a bigger sample size using standardized measures, and the incorporation of a control group for comparative analysis. There are several limitations. This study conducted in only inside a designated province, while Indonesia has 38 province, which limited to its generalizability. Therefore, the applicability of our results to the whole community and their capacity to be applied to other contexts are restricted. It is recommended to do further investigation using a larger sample size, obtained from a more varied population.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that family resilience intervention program could improve resilience and family functioning among caregiver with schizophrenia patients. It is essential to engage primary healthcare facilities in the early identification and monitoring of psychosocial problems within families and to apply the Resilient Family Education model for the purpose of preserving and enhancing the mental well-being of all families. Future study should include control groups and investigate the many aspects that influence family mental health, such as education level, emotional intimacy among family members, and socioeconomic position.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the Head of Gedanganak Village and all its officials, the Nursing Program of the Health Faculty of Ngudi Waluyo University, and all respondents for making this research possible.

#### REFERENCES

1. Lawrence, Ryan E., Ina Becker, and Patrick McGorry. "Schizophrenia and Other Primary Psychotic Disorders." *Tasman's Psychiatry*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2023. 1-59.
2. WHO. "Schizophrenia". 2022. [Online]. Available <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/schizophrenia#:~:text=Schizophrenia%20affects%20approximately%2024%20million,as%20many%20other%20mental%20disorders>. Accessed: July 27, 2024.
3. Ministry of Health. "National Health Survey". Jakarta, Indonesia. 2023. Pp 146-158
4. Fernandes, Júlio Belo et al. "Barriers to Family Resilience in Caregivers of People Who Have Schizophrenia." *Journal of nursing scholarshi : an official publication of Sigma Theta Tau International Honor Society of Nursing* vol. 53,4 (2021): 393-399. doi:10.1111/jnu.12651
5. Ribé, José M et al. "Quality of life in family caregivers of schizophrenia patients in Spain: caregiver characteristics, caregiving burden, family functioning, and social and professional support." *International journal of psychiatry in clinical practice* vol. 22,1 (2018): 25-33. doi:10.1080/13651501.2017.1360500.
6. Fitryasari, Rizki et al. "Family members' perspective of family Resilience's risk factors in taking care of schizophrenia patients." *International journal of nursing sciences* vol. 5,3 255-261. 23 Jul. 2018, doi:10.1016/j.ijnss.2018.06.002
7. Addo, Rebecca et al. "Economic burden of caregiving for persons with severe mental illness in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review." *PloS one* vol. 13,8 e0199830. 9 Aug. 2018, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0199830.
8. Chen, Pan et al. "Prevalence of insomnia and its association with quality of life in caregivers of psychiatric inpatients during the COVID-19 pandemic: a network analysis." *BMC psychiatry* vol. 23,1 837. 14 Nov. 2023, doi:10.1186/s12888-023-05194-w
9. Clari, Rosarito et al. "Perceived burden and family functioning among informal caregivers of individuals living with schizophrenia in Tanzania: a cross-sectional study." *BMC psychiatry* vol. 22,1 10. 4 Jan. 2022, doi:10.1186/s12888-021-03560-0












10. Wang, Yi-Zhou et al. "Affiliate stigma and caregiving burden among family caregivers of persons with schizophrenia in rural China." *The International journal of social psychiatry* vol. 69,4 (2023): 1024-1032. doi:10.1177/00207640231152206
11. Wan KF, Wong MMC. "Stress and burden faced by family caregivers of people with schizophrenia and early psychosis in Hong Kong". *Intern Med J*. 2019;49 Suppl 1:9-15. doi:10.1111/imj.14166
12. Lamont E., Dickens G. L. Mental health services, care provision, and professional support for people diagnosed with borderline personality disorder: systematic review of service-user, family, and carer perspectives. *Journal of Mental Health (Abingdon, England)*. 2021;30:619–633.
13. Phillips, Rita et al. "The impact of caring for family members with mental illnesses on the caregiver: a scoping review." *Health Promot Int*. 2023;38(3):daac049. doi:10.1093/heapro/daac049
14. Subandi MA et al. "Cultural explanations of psychotic illness and care-seeking of family caregivers in Java, Indonesia." *Transcult Psychiatry*. 2021;58(1):3-13. doi:10.1177/1363461520916290
15. Kulhara, Parmanand et al. "Positive aspects of caregiving in schizophrenia: A review." *World journal of psychiatry* vol. 2,3 (2012): 43-8. doi:10.5498/wjp.v2.i3.43.
16. Roth, David L et al. "Positive Aspects of Family Caregiving for Dementia: Differential Item Functioning by Race." *The journals of gerontology. Series B, Psychological sciences and social sciences* vol. 70,6 (2015): 813-9. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbv034.
17. Roth, David L et al. "Positive Aspects of Family Caregiving for Dementia: Differential Item Functioning by Race." *The journals of gerontology. Series B, Psychological sciences and social sciences* vol. 70,6 (2015): 813-9. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbv034.
18. Gannoni, Anne F, and Rosalyn H Shute. "Parental and child perspectives on adaptation to childhood chronic illness: a qualitative study." *Clinical child psychology and psychiatry* vol. 15,1 (2010): 39-53. doi:10.1177/1359104509338432.
19. S Shiraishi, Nao, and Jacqueline Reilly. "Positive and negative impacts of schizophrenia on family caregivers: a systematic review and qualitative meta-summary." *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology* vol. 54,3 (2019): 277-290. doi:10.1007/s00127-018-1617-8.
20. Bonanno, George A. "Loss, trauma, and human resilience: have we underestimated the human capacity to thrive after extremely aversive events?." *The American psychologist* vol. 59,1 (2004): 20-8. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.59.1.20
21. Walsh F. "A family resilience framework: Innovative practice applications." *Fam Relat*. 2002;51(2):130–137. doi:10.1111/j.1741-3729.2002.00130.x
22. Rolland JS, Walsh F. "Facilitating family resilience with childhood illness and disability." *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2006;18(5):527–538. doi: 10.1097/01.mop.0000245354.83454.68.
23. Benzie K, Mychasiuk R. "Fostering family resiliency: a review of the key protective factors." *Child Fam Soc Work*. 2009;14(1):103–114. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2206.2008.00586.x.
24. McCubbin M, McCubbin H. "Resiliency in Families: A Conceptual Model of Family Adjustment and Adaptation in Response to Stress and Crises." Madison, Wis: University of Wisconsin Publishers; 1996.
25. Padesky CA, Mooney KA. "Strengths-Based Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy: A Four-Step Model to Build Resilience." *Clin Psychol Psychother*. 2012;19(4):283–290. doi: 10.1002/cpp.1795.
26. Behrouian, Maryam et al. "The effect of the emotion regulation training on the resilience of caregivers of patients with schizophrenia: a parallel randomized controlled trial." *BMC psychology* vol. 9,1 39. 2 Mar. 2021, doi:10.1186/s40359-021-00542-5
27. Sampogna, Gaia et al. "Psychosocial interventions for carers of people with severe mental and substance use disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis." *European psychiatry : the journal of the Association of European Psychiatrists* vol. 66,1 e98. 24 Nov. 2023, doi:10.1192/j.eurpsy.2023.2472
28. Iswanti, Dwi Indah et al. "Effectiveness of an Integrative Empowerment Intervention for Families on Caring and Prevention of Relapse in Schizophrenia Patients." *SAGE open nursing* vol. 10 23779608241231000. 6 Feb. 2024, doi:10.1177/23779608241231000
29. Silaule, Olindah et al. "Strategies to Alleviate the Burden Experienced by Informal Caregivers of Persons With Severe Mental Disorders in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Scoping Review." *Interactive journal of medical research* vol. 13 e48587. 18 Jan. 2024, doi:10.2196/48587
30. Eldridge, Sandra M et al. "CONSORT 2010 statement: extension to randomised pilot and feasibility trials." *Pilot and feasibility studies* vol. 2 64. 21 Oct. 2016, doi:10.1186/s40814-016-0105-8
31. Ren, Liya et al. "Development of a theory-based family resilience intervention program for parents of children with chronic diseases: A Delphi study." *Journal of pediatric nursing* vol. 74 (2024): 41-50. doi:10.1016/j.pedn.2023.11.020
32. Cohen, J. "A power primer." *Psychological bulletin* vol. 112,1 (1992): 155-9. doi:10.1037//0033-2909.112.1.155

33. Mirmahmoodi, Motahareh et al. "The Effect of Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction Group Counseling on Psychological and Inflammatory Responses of the Women With Breast Cancer." *Integrative cancer therapies* vol. 19 (2020): 1534735420946819. doi:10.1177/1534735420946819 19.
34. Connor K, Davidson J. "Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor–Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) *Depress Anxiety*." 2003;18(2):76–82. doi: 10.1002/da.10113.
35. Ryan CE, et al. "Evaluating and Treating Families: The McMaster Approach". Hoboken: Taylor & Frances; 2012.
36. Boterhoven de Haan, Katrina L et al. "Reliability and validity of a short version of the general functioning subscale of the McMaster Family Assessment Device." *Family process* vol. 54,1 (2015): 116-23. doi:10.1111/famp.12113.
37. Lim, HeeSu, and KuemSun Han. *Journal of Korean Academy of Nursing* vol. 43,1 (2013): 133-42. doi:10.4040/jkan.2013.43.1.133
38. Kim, G., et al. "Effects of a family resilience enhancement program (FREPE) on family adaptation to elderly with dementia in South Korea." *Int J of Gerontol* 13.1 (2019): 54-58.
39. Sari A, Duman ZÇ. "Effects of the family support and psychoeducation program based on the Calgary Family Intervention Model on the coping, psychological distress and psychological resilience levels of the family caregivers of chronic psychiatric patients." *Arch Psychiatr Nurs*. 2022;41:1-10. doi:10.1016/j.apnu.2022.07.014
40. Manning, Lydia, et al. "Spiritual resilience: Understanding the protection and promotion of well-being in the later life." *Journal of religion, spirituality & aging* 31.2 (2019): 168-186.
41. Wang, Qian-Cheng, et al. "Community resilience in city emergency: Exploring the roles of environmental perception, social justice and community attachment in subjective well-being of vulnerable residents." *Sustainable Cities and Society* 97 (2023): 104745.
42. Asay, S., & DeFrain, J. "The International Family Strengths Model. *Marriage and Family, Future of Societ*"y. 2012. World Congress of Families.
43. Huaman, Nilda, et al. "An explanatory model of work-family conflict and resilience as predictors of job satisfaction in nurses: the mediating role of work engagement and communication skills." *Journal of primary care & community health* 14 (2023): 21501319231151380.
44. Integrating Advocacy for Marginalized Children and Families Into Evidence-Based Care During COVID-19: Clinical Vignettes.
45. Arad, Mansour, et al. "Effect of spiritual intelligence training on nurses' skills for communicating with patients—an experimental study." *Journal of education and health promotion* 11.1 (2022): 127.
46. Umberson, Debra, and Jennifer Karas Montez. "Social relationships and health: a flashpoint for health policy." *Journal of health and social behavior* vol. 51 Suppl,Suppl (2010): S54-66. doi:10.1177/0022146510383501
47. Mayordomo, Teresa et al. "Resilience and Coping as Predictors of Well-Being in Adults." *The Journal of psychology* vol. 150,7 (2016): 809-21. doi:10.1080/00223980.2016.1203276.
48. Martin-Storey, Alexa et al. "Family relationship quality during the COVID-19 pandemic: The value of adolescent perceptions of change." *Journal of adolescence* vol. 93 (2021): 190-201. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2021.11.005
49. Hardy, Janet. "Hope As Community: Spiritual Care for Families with Members Who Have Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)." *Canadian Journal of Theology, Mental Health and Disability* 1.2 (2021): 137-146.
50. Owokuhaisa, Judith et al. "Burden of care and coping strategies among informal caregivers of people with behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia in rural south-western Uganda." *BMC geriatrics* vol. 23,1 475. 8 Aug. 2023, doi:10.1186/s12877-023-04129-0
51. Ramadhana, Maulana Rezi. "A dataset for emotional reactions and family resilience during COVID-19 isolation period among Indonesian families." *Data in brief* vol. 31 105946. 30 Jun. 2020, doi:10.1016/j.dib.2020.105946 6
52. Tsibidaki, Assimina. "Anxiety, meaning in life, self-efficacy and resilience in families with one or more members with special educational needs and disability during COVID-19 pandemic in Greece." *Research in developmental disabilities* vol. 109 (2021): 103830. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2020.103830
53. Prime, Heather et al. "Risk and resilience in family well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic." *The American psychologist* vol. 75,5 (2020): 631-643. doi:10.1037/amp0000660
54. Maurović, Ivana, Linda Liebenberg, and Martina Ferić. "A review of family resilience: Understanding the concept and operationalization challenges to inform research and practice." *Child Care in Practice* 26.4 (2020): 337-357.



## BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS

	<p><b>Puji Lestari</b>   is faculty member at Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health, Universitas Ngudi Waluyo, Semarang, Indonesia. She teach nursing course specific on community nursing, family nursing, trauma and crisis. Her research interest was focuss on mental health issues, family empowerment, and family resilience. She can be contacted at email: pujilestari250582@gmail.com.</p>
	<p><b>Liyanovitasari</b>   is faculty member at Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health, Universitas Ngudi Waluyo, Semarang, Indonesia. She teaches nursing course specific on psyctiatic and mental health nursing. Her research interest was focuss on mental health issues, family empowerment, and family resilience. He can be contacted at email: liyanovie05@gmail.com.</p>
	<p><b>Heri Prabowo</b>   is faculty member at Management Study Program, Faculty of Economy and Business, Universitas PGRI, Semarang, Indonesia. His reseach focus on purchahse intention, motivation, and service quality. He serves as Secretary of the Quality Assurance Institute of PGRI University Semarang from 2019 – 2023. He can be contacted at email: heriprabowo@upgris.ac.id.</p>